

The fact that Sinclair Broadcasting can force their affiliates to air an anti-Kerry documentary days before the election, yet the same media giant has tried refusing to run ads that are anti-Bush, is the VERY REASON the media should be forced to UN-CONSOLIDATE, NOT BE ALLOWED TO OWN EVEN MORE MARKETS THAN THEY NOW DO. THIS IS NOT EVEN A PARTISAN ISSUE AS FAR AS I'M CONCERNED -- I WANT RELEVANT FACTS REPORTED ON THE AIR, NOT PETTY B.S. DESIGNED TO BE A POLITICAL SMEAR.

THE ONLY WAY THIS WOULD BE FAIR IS IF THEY ALSO RAN MICHAEL MOORE'S NEW DOCUMENTARY "FARHENHEIT 911" RIGHT BEFORE THE ELECTION. ANOTHER MEDIA GIANT TRIED TO STOP MOORE'S PRODUCTION OF HIS DOCUMENTARY. SO WHY IS IT THAT WE WANT TO ALLOW EVEN MORE CORPORATE CONGLOMERATE OWNERSHIP OF THE MEDIA? WHO DOES THAT SERVE BESIDE THE CORPORATE CONGLOMERATE AND THEIR CHOSEN POLITICAL AFFILIATION?